

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/532,510	03/21/2000	Brian Joseph	ALA-106	6796
23494	7590 09/03/20	13		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			EXAMINER	
DALLAS, T	55474, M/S 3999 X 75265		LE, UYEN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	1:
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	, , , ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/532,510	JOSEPH, BRIAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Uyen T Le	2171			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	<u>ıne 2003</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-12,14-16 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-12,14-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
۵٫۱	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/532,510

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- Applicant's arguments regarding Dent and Weigand references have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office Action.
- 2. Applicant's amendment to claim 3 is acknowledged. Consequently, objection to claim 3 is withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant has not addressed the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention because claim 16, last line "the selected input" lacks antecedent basis.

The art rejection of claims 16, 18-20 is applied as best understood in light of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph discussed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2171

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 12, 14-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dent et al (US 5,187,675) of record.

Regarding claim 1, Dent discloses a system for locating a specific value (see the abstract, Figures 1-3). Note the plurality of decision units grouped in successive computation stages 1-3 in Figure 1. The claimed N data values merely read on input V0 through V7 shown in Figure 1. Each data value is clearly a plurality of bits wide. The claimed decision units read on the combination of elements 108 and 110. Each decision unit clearly receives a pair of input values having a data value (input A, input B in Figure 2A) and a partial address (A traceback, B traceback in Figure 2A). The claimed "each decision unit generates a value...contains the specific value" is met when Dent shows that stage 3 contains Vmax. The claimed binary operator merely generates a binary decision representative of a local address, thus is met by element 110 which traces back to the address of the input selected to be outputted. The claimed multiplexer coupled to the binary operator is met by element 108. The claimed storage element has to be present for the system of Dent to temporarily store the results of the computation at each stage to preserve the data value for the next stage during the computation process. The claimed "binary decision which is added to the partial address of the selected data value" is clearly shown in element 110 of Figure 2B.

Application/Control Number: 09/532,510

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 3, Dent shows that the system selects the minimum value (see column 3, lines 55-57).

Regarding claim 4, Dent shows that the binary operator selects the maximum value of the pair of data values contained in the pair of input values since the output of stage 3 is Vmax (see Figure 1).

Regarding claims 8, 9, Dent discloses that the number of computation stages K is related to the size N by the formula $K=log_2N$ and the number of decision units at a computation stage i is equal to $N/2^i$ wherein 1 < = I < = K when Dent shows that each comparator at each stage takes 2 inputs and produces one output as shown in Figure 1.

Regarding claim 12, Dent discloses an apparatus for obtaining information on a specific value within a pair of inputs (see Figure 1). The claimed binary operator and multiplexer are met by elements 110, 108 shown in Figure 2A. The claimed storage element has to be present for the system of Dent to temporarily store the results of the computation at each stage to preserve the data value for the next stage during the computation process. The input data values are clearly compared and the output is clearly representative of a local address of the specific value (see Figure 3). Dent discloses that the input value also contains a partial address when Dent shows the traceback data (see Figures 2A, 2B, 3).

Regarding claim 14, teaches that the binary operator is a minimum operator (see column 3, lines 55-57).

Regarding claim 15, Dent discloses that the binary operator is a maximum operator when Dent shows comparators 11, 12, 13 outputting Vmax.

Application/Control Number: 09/532,510

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 16, note that the claimed W bits of data value do not seem to play any role in applicant's method. Dent discloses a method of determining an address for a result of a binary operation when Dent shows that the output of the final stage is Vmax (see the abstract, Figure 1) and the traceback data represent the address of the selected value (see Figures 2A, 2B, 3). Dent discloses that each input value includes a partial address when Dent shows A traceback and B traceback (see Figure 2A). The claimed step (c) has to be present for the method of Dent to perform the computations since all inputs and outputs have to be stored at least temporarily at each stage for the computerized method in multiple stages of Dent to perform.

Regarding claim 18, clearly the computation stage 3 of Dent contains the value of the result of the binary operation and its address within the array of values.

Regarding claim 19, Dent clearly teaches a minimum finding operation (see column 3, lines 55-57).

Regarding claim 20, Dent discloses that the binary operation is a maximum finding operation when Dent shows that the result is Vmax.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 7, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent et al (US 5,187,675) of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/532,510

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 6, 7, although Dent does not specifically show which bits represent the partial address, since users' systems have different configuration, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the claimed features depending on users' system configuration.

Regarding claims 10, 11, although Dent does not specifically show the order of the address bits and the specific value bits, since users' systems have different configuration, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the claimed features depending on users' system configuration.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cung (US 5,383,142) teaches fast circuit and method for detecting predetermined bit patterns.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen T Le whose telephone number is 703-305-4134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Uyen Le

Primary Examiner

AU 2171

August 19, 2003